Independent Hospital Pricing Authority

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS Seventh Edition



Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) – ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS Seventh Edition

© Independent Hospital Pricing Authority 2021

This publication is available for your use under a Creative Commons BY Attribution 3.0 Australia licence, with the exception of the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority logo, photographs, images, signatures and where otherwise stated. The full licence terms are available from the Creative Commons website.



Use of Independent Hospital Pricing Authority material under a Creative Commons BY Attribution 3.0 Australia licence requires you to attribute the work (but not in any way that suggests that the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority endorses you or your use of the work).

Independent Hospital Pricing Authority material used 'as supplied'.

Provided you have not modified or transformed Independent Hospital Pricing Authority material in any way including, for example, by changing Independent Hospital Pricing Authority text – then the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority prefers the following attribution:

Source: The Independent Hospital Pricing Authority



Contents

Seventh Edition FAQs	2
Diabetic foot	3
Specific examples from 2012 Diabetes Mellitus Workshop Material: Example 14	4
Eradicated conditions and diabetes mellitus	5
Specific examples from 2012 Diabetes Mellitus Workshop Material: Scenario J	6
Documentation of diabetes mellitus	7
Diabetes mellitus and day only admissions	9
Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance	11
Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)	13



Seventh Edition FAQs

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) are provided as an implementation material for ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS Tenth Edition to assist clinical coders in understanding classification updates made between Sixth Edition and Seventh Edition.

For the purposes of episodes coded in Australian hospitals, the Seventh Edition FAQs are not considered current classification advice. Therefore FAQs in this document are listed as 'Retired'.

While the Tenth Edition FAQs may still appear to be applicable for future editions of

ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS, clinical coders should exercise caution in applying the advice in these FAQs as there may be more recent advice that should be applied to that area of the classification.

Please note that any links or references in this document are not maintained.



Diabetic foot

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Q:

With reference to the criteria for coding diabetic foot (ACS 0401, *6. Diabetic foot*) does amputee status meet the criteria at Category 2d when the amputation was due to an injury/trauma not related to diabetes mellitus complications?

A:

Clinical advice confirmed that amputee status of the lower limb, regardless of what caused the amputation, contributes to the criteria for diabetic foot as specified in ACS 0401, *6. Diabetic foot, Category 2d.* This is because prior amputation presents increased risk of contralateral foot lesion (eg ulcer) and other complications (eg excessive loading) associated with diabetic foot.

Q:

With reference to the criteria for coding diabetic foot (ACS 0401, *6. Diabetic foot*) does an ulcer and/or infection of lower limb (not foot) - for example ulcer of calf - meet the criteria at Category 1?

A:

Clinical advice confirmed that the Category 1 of the diabetic foot criteria (ACS 0401, *6. Diabetic foot*) is limited to ulcer and/or infection of the foot region (including heel and toes).

Q:

L97.0 *Ulcer of foot* should not be assigned for foot ulcer in addition to E11.73 *Type 2 diabetes mellitus with foot ulcer due to multiple causes* following ACS 0401, *Rule 6*. However, can L97.8 *Ulcer of lower limb, other sites* or L97.9 *Ulcer of lower limb, unspecified* be assigned for ulcer of lower limb (not foot) in addition to E11.73?

A:

L97.8 *Ulcer of lower limb, other sites* or L97.9 *Ulcer of lower limb, unspecified* may be assigned, in addition to E11.73, for an ulcer of the lower limb (not foot region: foot, heel, toes) if the ulcer meets ACS 0002 *Additional diagnoses* in its own right.

This advice has a minor modification to correspond with an update in a subsequent edition of ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS



Ref No: TN428 | Published On: 15-Jun-2012 | Status: Retired | Retired On: 30-Jun-2019

Specific examples from 2012 Diabetes Mellitus Workshop Material: *Example 14*

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

In *Example 14*, why isn't I70.23 *Atherosclerosis of arteries of extremities with ulceration* assigned given that the peripheral vascular disease meets *Rule 4b*?

EXAMPLE 14

Patient with a history of Type 2 diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease and peripheral neuropathy was admitted for treatment of a left foot ulcer. The foot ulcer was treated with daily dressings. Diabetic educator spoke to the patient in relation to their ongoing insulin medication. The vascular surgeon reviewed the patient's peripheral vascular disease and decided that the patient was unfit for surgery."

A:

The final code assignment for Example 14 is:

- E11.73 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with foot ulcer due to multiple causes
- E11.51 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with peripheral angiopathy, without gangrene
- E11.42 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with diabetic polyneuropathy
- Z92.22 Personal history of long term (current) use of other medicaments, insulin

While the PVD meets *Rule 4b*, I70.23 Atherosclerosis of arteries of extremities with ulceration is not assigned following *Rule 6*, which instructs that multiple codes should not be assigned when the DM code identifies the elements documented in the diagnosis: the PVD is identified in E11.51 and the ulcer is identified in E11.73.



Eradicated conditions and diabetes mellitus

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

If a patient with diabetes mellitus has had a vascular reconstruction procedure for PVD should a Z code be added to indicate the previous surgery even though the PVD is not eradicated?

A:

ACS 0401, *7. Eradicated conditions and DM* advises that a vascular reconstruction procedure may eradicate a manifestation of peripheral arterial disease, such as an ulcer, but does not eradicate the peripheral arterial disease. DM with peripheral arterial disease should be coded with Z95.8 Presence of other cardiac and vascular implants and grafts or Z95.9 Presence of cardiac and vascular implants and grafts, unspecified to indicate the status of the previous surgery.



Specific examples from 2012 Diabetes Mellitus Workshop Material: *Scenario J*

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

In Scenario J, could I70.23 *Atherosclerosis of arteries of extremities with ulceration* be assigned according to Rule 4b?

"**Scenario J** - This 67 year old male presented for below knee amputation as treatment of foot ulcer. Also has Type 2 DM with PVD and neuropathy."

A:

The final code assignment for Scenario J is:

- E11.73 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with foot ulcer due to multiple causes
- E11.51 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with peripheral angiopathy, without gangrene
- E11.40 Type 2 diabetes mellitus with unspecified neuropathy

Rule 4b specifies that conditions classified outside of category E09-E14 should only be assigned when the condition meets ACS 0001 *Principal diagnosis* or ACS 0002 *Additional diagnoses*. In *Scenario J*, there is no evidence that PVD met ACS 0001 or ACS 0002, therefore I70.23 *Atherosclerosis of arteries of extremities with ulceration* was not assigned.



Documentation of diabetes mellitus

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

Can you refer to previous admissions to inform code assignment for diabetes mellitus? How far back in the clinical record can you go for information? Do you use past admissions to gather information about complications of DM to assign codes from E1-.7- **Diabetes mellitus with multiple complications*?

A:

The following statement is included in the Introduction to the Australian Coding Standards (ACS):

"It is assumed that coding decisions are not made solely based on information provided on the clinical record front sheet and/or discharge summary (or a copy of same) but that analysis of the entire clinical record is performed before code assignment."

Therefore, previous admissions and correspondence can be used to inform assignment of diabetes mellitus codes. However, previous admissions and correspondence should not be used:

- to assign diabetes mellitus if it has not been documented in the current admission.
- to inform the assignment of diabetes mellitus codes which have contributing conditions which may no longer be relevant or where criteria has changed over previous editions of the classification, eg hypertension being used to assign a code for features of insulin resistance.

Q:

Are nurses considered clinicians when it comes to documentation for clinical coding? What documentation is sufficient to warrant coding of DM? Specifically, should a code for DM be assigned by virtue of a nurse checking a 'tick box' on a form such as a pre-admission check list?

A:

The *Introduction* to the *Australian Coding Standards, How to use this document* contains the following guideline:

"The term 'clinician' is used throughout the document and refers to the treating medical officer but may refer to other clinicians such as midwives, nurses and allied health professionals. In order to assign a code associated with a particular clinician's documentation, the documented information must be appropriate to the clinician's discipline."

The NCCC supports this guideline and maintains that documentation by any clinician can be used to determine conditions that should be coded. However, clinical coders should also be guided by the following from the *Introduction* to the *Australian Coding Standards*:

"If a clinical record is inadequate for complete, accurate coding, the clinical coder should seek more information from the clinician. When a diagnosis is recorded for which there is no supporting documentation in the body of the clinical record, it may be necessary to consult with the clinician before assigning a code."

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) - Seventh Edition



While ACS 0401, *Rule 1* specifies that DM should always be coded, general coding and abstraction guidelines should still be followed.



Diabetes mellitus and day only admissions

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

Does diabetes mellitus have to be coded for day only admissions, in particular day only dialysis admissions?

A:

There is no exception to the rule that diabetes mellitus should be coded when documented. Therefore, diabetes mellitus should be coded when documented in same day admissions.

Dialysis admissions

The issue of whether to code diabetes, or any other additional diagnosis, when dialysis episode coding is autogenerated is unique. The following advice was published in Coding Matters, September 2008:

Q:

In day only dialysis admissions, should codes be assigned for any additional diagnoses, e.g. CKD, diabetes etc?

A:

As most day only dialysis admissions are autogenerated, it is difficult to assign additional diagnosis codes when the full record is not available at the time of the coding process. Therefore, for day only admissions for dialysis, only assign Z49.1 *Extracorporeal dialysis* for extracorporeal dialysis or Z49.2 *Other dialysis* for peritoneal dialysis together with the appropriate procedure code. Additional diagnosis codes should only be assigned if the conditions meet ACS 0002 *Additional diagnoses*." (Coding Matters, Volume 15, Number 2, September 2008)

Further to the Coding Matters advice above, ACS 0001 *Principal diagnosis, Problems and underlying conditions* states:

"If a patient presents with a problem, and the underlying condition is known at the time of admission, and only the problem is being treated, then the problem should be assigned as the principal diagnosis code. The underlying condition should be sequenced as an additional diagnosis code."

Therefore, it is more accurate to state:

"...Additional diagnosis codes should be assigned if the conditions meet the criteria for code assignment as per the guidelines in ACS 0001 *Principal diagnosis, Problems and underlying conditions* or ACS 0002 *Additional diagnoses*".

However, as most day only dialysis admissions are coded using autogenerated software, it is difficult to assign additional diagnosis codes for specific patients. In addition the full record is not always available at the time of the patient's admission to inform the coding process. The NCCC recognises these system issues and accepts, for now, that it will not be possible for many hospitals



to comply with ACS 0001 *Principal diagnosis, Problems and underlying conditions* and ACS 0002 *Additional diagnoses* for these episodes.

Published 15 June 2012, for implementation 01 July 2012.

This advice supersedes the previous advice published in Coding Matters, September 2008 (Volume 15, Number 2), Day only admissions and additional diagnoses, which will be retired on 30 June 2012.



Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

If a patient previously had conditions (such as obesity or characteristic dyslipidaemia) which qualified them for assignment of E1-.72 **Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance* but in a more recent episode of care no longer has those qualifying conditions (because they are no longer obese or their dyslipidaemia is controlled by medication) do they still qualify for the assignment of E1-.72 **Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance*?

A:

Clinical advice confirmed that if conditions which previously contributed to the assignment of E1-.72 **Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance* (such as obesity or characteristic dyslipidaemia) are no longer current, then these conditions should no longer be considered as contributing to the criteria for assignment of E1-.72 **Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance*.

Q:

Can test results alone be used to identify characteristic dyslipidaemia in order to assign E1-.72 *Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance?

A:

No, test results alone cannot be used to identify elevated fasting triglycerides or depressed HDLcholesterol, as per the guidelines in ACS 0010 *General abstraction guidelines, Test results*. The following guidelines which are also represented in ACS 0401, *3. DM and IH with features of insulin resistance* and ACS 0401, *Figure 1* should be followed in order to identify "characteristic dyslipidaemia":

- if there is clinician documentation of "dyslipidaemia/hypercholesterolaemia/high cholesterol/ hyperlipidaemia", then seek confirmation that levels of either "elevated fasting triglycerides" (=1.7 mmol/L) or "depressed HDL-cholesterol" (male =1.03, female =1.29) meet the required values for characteristic dyslipidaemia in order to assign E1-.72 **Diabetes mellitus with features* of insulin resistance.
- 2. if there is NO clinician documentation of dyslipidaemia/hypercholesterolaemia/high cholesterol/ hyperlipidaemia, then clinician documentation of both "elevated fasting triglycerides" (=1.7 mmol/L) and "depressed HDL-cholesterol" (male =1.03, female =1.29) is required test results can only be used to confirm the levels meet the required values for characteristic dyslipidaemia in order to assign E1-.72 *Diabetes mellitus with features of insulin resistance.



Q:

Are there specific diagnostic criteria for characteristic dyslipidaemia for the Indigenous Australian population?

A:

Clinical advice confirmed that there are no population specific criteria for characteristic dyslipidaemia for use in Australia.



Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)

ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - Education Workshop FAQs

Please refer to ACS 0401 *Diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia* - 1 July 2012 revision.

Q:

This advice has been deleted from ACS 0401 - 1 July 2012:

"Gestational diabetes may recur in a subsequent pregnancy and when this occurs, assign a code for gestational diabetes, with Z87.5 Personal history of complications of pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium."

(Source, ACS 0401, Seventh Edition, 1 July 2010, Gestational diabetes mellitus)

Is the advice still valid?

A:

This advice was removed from ACS 0401 to standardise the assignment of Z87.5 *Personal history of complications of pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium* in line with ACS 2112 *Personal history.* Z87.5 *Personal history of complications of pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium* should only be assigned according to the guidelines in ACS 2112 *Personal history.* See also ACS 0401, 2. Specific classification principles for DM and IH, DM and IH in pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium.

This advice has a minor modification to correspond with an update in a subsequent edition of ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS.

Level 6, 1 Oxford Street Sydney NSW 2000

Phone 02 8215 1100 Email enquiries.ihpa@ihpa.gov.au Twitter @IHPAnews

